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In enterprise-wide roles the PMO (Project Management Office or Program Management Office) will have responsibility for management and implementation of a tollgate or stage gate process for program and project approval. The purpose of this process is to ensure that investment decisions are made on a sound basis, that project portfolios have been rationalized and optimized, and that solid baseline foundations exist.

This paper we will take a closer look at the tollgate process and its oversight by the PMO.

Tollgates and Stage Gates

Tollgates or stage gates represent approval points before proceeding to the next stage of program or project development. The PMO will have defined standards and requirements to have been met before approval can be gained to proceed to the next stage and review of the readiness of each project at the “gate” ensures that the basis for additional capital commitments exists.

The various “gate” reviews are formal processes with responsibilities, accountabilities and authorities well defined. The impact of proceeding through the “gate” must be understood across the organization. In simplest terms the PMO is the gatekeeper.

Organizational terminology and “gate” requirements will vary but in general terms include:

- Project or concept identification
- Project selection or pre-feasibility
- Project definition or feasibility
- Implementation

A well implemented tollgate process has the opportunity to significantly influence program and project success. The best opportunities to influence project outcomes are the earliest possible stages. Getting the first steps right is key to controlling the impactful cost of change at later stages. Decision processes at each gate must be robust.

It is at each stage gate that the program’s risk register is built and further refined. The stage gate process drives risk management front and center in the overall program definition process.
PMO Role in Capital Management

As gatekeeper, the PMO’s effectiveness has a huge influence on enterprise wide capital management. The tollgate process has the ability to:

- Manage demand for capital
- Drive capital efficiency in projects as they advance through the tollgate process
- Enhance project execution by providing a disciplined project development framework and enforcing standards on management evaluation of alternatives
- Influence acceptable risk frameworks commensurate with the investments being undertaken and the risks the programs will face.

At each stage the PMO can lever the tollgate process to create value.

Project or Concept Identification

During the project identification or concept stage, program and project teams will:

- Defines outcomes to be achieved (Strategic Business Objectives or SBOs)
- Identify minimum scope required to achieve the SBOs
- Define candidate projects or project portfolios
- Initially engage stakeholders
- Screen candidate projects and options
- Test recommendations against policies, financial hurdle rates and other constraints that may act upon their success
- Ensure that an initial risk register has been assembled and that large areas of uncertainty have been identified together with the strategies to reduce these uncertainties in the next stage
- Define resource allocations in terms of time, money and management
- Confirm that adequate managerial and other resources exist to undertake the program

Inputs considered during this stage may include:

- Market or Demand Forecasts
- Sales/Capacity Reconciliation (matching supply to contractual commitments)
- Competitor Intelligence or Other Competitive Studies
- Legal Constraints and Challenges
- Environmental Constraints and Regulations
- Value Added Assessments
- Technology Assessments including Required R&D
- Estimates by Others
- Stakeholder Surveys and Other Assessments
- Program or Product Development
- Business Objectives

**Project Selection or Pre-Feasibility**

Projects that have cleared the initial gate represented by the project or concept identification stage discussed above will then undertake a series of activities to improve project definition and confidence in order to meet the requirements of the project selection or pre-feasibility gate. Selection frameworks and ultimate selection justifications will be developed.

Activities during this stage will include:

- Comprehensive portfolio and option evaluations at both the program and project levels. At the program level identification of the efficient frontier will be a major objective. At the project level, cost-benefit analyses are undertaken on a risk weighted basis.
- Projects comprising the selected portfolio better defined
- Project level risks, resource requirements, constraints and interactions with other projects comprising the program defined
- Structured opportunity analysis and implementation of a range of value improving programs
- Refinement of cost analysis
- More rigorous risk identification and assessment
- Benchmarking analysis against other projects or programs
- Development of a preliminary program execution strategy and plan

Specific items developed at this stage include:

- Identification of Non Process Infrastructure (NPI); Offsites & Utilities (O&U); or other auxiliary program or project needs
- Hazards Reviews (HAZOP)
- Conceptual Engineering or FEL 2
- Economic Evaluation of Alternatives Including Scenario Analysis
- Site Selection Based on Established Selection Criteria and Methodology
- Process Flow Sheets or the Equivalent for Other Industries (Highway Right of Way (ROW); Rail Alignment; Single Line Network Diagrams)
- Budget Estimates including Risk Based Provisions for Uncertainties and Unknowns
• Project Objectives, Linked to Programmatic Strategic Business Objectives

**Project Definition or Feasibility**

Having cleared the pre-feasibility stage, programs move to the feasibility stage. Principle objectives at this stage include rigorously assessing the financial justification for a particular project using pre-established and consistently applied methodology and criteria.

Projects will undergo structured processes that allow all projects to be evaluated on a consistent basis. In effect projects are competing for the same scarce resources. Projects which clear this gate are “sanctioned” and full capital resources for implementation are released to the project.

Specific items developed to support this stage, and which will be reviewed by the PMO, include:

- Piping & Instrumentation Drawings (P&IDs)
- Equipment Specifications and as Appropriate Materials Specifications
- Procurement Plan including Use of Strategic Sourcing
- Execution Plan, Consistent with the Standards Established by the PMO
- General or Preliminary Arrangements
- Scope(s) of Work
- Baseline Schedule and Associated Analysis
- Baseline Estimate for “Sanction”
- Sign-offs from all Required Parties

In addition to leading evaluation of the various programs and projects, the PMO will be looking at the enterprise's overall portfolio, identifying portfolio risks and opportunities. Project portfolio management is a key PMO responsibility.

Project portfolio management seeks to ensure that:

- The right projects are selected to achieve the strategic outcomes represented by the organization’s Strategic Business Objectives
- Resources, financial and management, are optimally deployed
- Projects are monitored against key performance indicators which are developed through the tollgate process and linked to key outcomes, critical success factors or key results areas as appropriate
- Projects are executed in a well defined and comprehensive manner benefiting from lessons learned on earlier efforts.

As part of its project portfolio management efforts the PMO will:
• Establish project portfolio evaluation criteria and methodologies
• Establish project evaluation methodologies to ensure consistent evaluation of projects
• Monitor alignment of Strategic Business Objectives, strategy and program and project definition
• Ensure that top level KPIs have been appropriately cascaded down
• Confirm that selected portfolios and projects are consistent with the organization’s risk appetite
• Confirm that changes in the external or internal environments have not altered the viability of selected programs or projects
• Assess program, project and enterprise portfolio risks paying particular attention to cross enterprise systemic risks; constraint coupling and assumption migration
• Define key strategic risks that must be considered in program and project evaluations at each gate.
• Assess program resiliency and identify inherent complexity and flexibility
• Review dynamic factors impacting project delivery
• Assess adequacy of enterprise risk management and mitigation efforts including required insurance programs
• Model cash flows under a range of scenarios and develop contingency strategies
• Model project and program hurdle rates recognizing that low performing projects may be enablers for higher program performance.
• Assess adequacy of management and other human resources including overall availability, competition for resources and possession of requisite skill levels
• Identify financial risk mitigation strategies and implement as tasked
• Ongoing project validation
• Top level stakeholder communication
• Implement project and program governance policies and practices

Implementation

The PMO will establish program and project execution standards and prepare go-by documents for these execution plans. Execution requirements will be further supported by policies, procedures and standards as required meeting the organization’s needs for consistent program and project execution and performance.

Change control is a major focus area of the PMO recognizing the costly and disruptive impacts of change. Reviews will include all affected stakeholders and occur in a timely manner before each major construction activity.

Cross project and cross program impacts will be closely monitored by the PMO. Opportunities for cost reduction, synergy capture, opportunity exploitation, and schedule compression will also represent a major focus for the PMO.
Post Implementation

Capturing project and program lessons learned and best practices is a key first step in a broader knowledge management and continuous improvement effort led by the PMO.

The PMO will document key findings at the end of each tollgate stage and as part of ongoing project reviews and conduct deep-dives when significant problems emerge. Enterprise knowledge management programs are becoming increasingly important in large programs as well as experienced based training to inculcate best practices into program delivery efforts.
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